

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

211

CRM-M-1901-2024

Date of decision: 22.02.2024

Veer Kaur
State of Punjab

V/s

....Petitioner
....Respondent

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: Mr. Parampreet S. Bajwa, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Adhiraj Singh Thind, AAG Punjab.

SUMEET GOEL, J. (Oral)

1. This petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.144 dated 06.12.2023, registered for offences under Sections 306 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, at Police Station Dirba, District Sangrur, Punjab.

2. On 15.01.2024, the following order was passed:-

“Apprehending her arrest in FIR No. 144 dated 06.12.2023 registered for offences punishable under Sections 306 IPC at Police Station Dirba, District Sangrur; the petitioner has preferred this petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. seeking pre-arrest bail.

Inter alia contends that petitioner is a young woman aged about 29 years; allegations sought to be made basis for invoking offence under Section 306 IPC are nothing but routine wrangling between mother-in-law and daughter-in-law, no recovery is to be effected from the petitioner and she is willing to join investigation and cooperate therein.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Adhiraj Singh Thind, AAG Punjab appears and accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

Adjourned to 22.02.2024.

The petitioner is directed to appear before the Investigating Officer on 22.01.2024 at 11:00 A.M. in concerned Police Station and join investigation. In the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to her furnishing personal/surety bond(s) to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. As and when further called by Investigating

Officer, the petitioner shall join the investigation. She shall abide by the condition(s) enumerated under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.”

3. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Mahi Pal, has stated that pursuant to order dated 15.01.2024, the petitioner has joined investigation and is no longer required for custodial interrogation.
4. I have heard the learned counsel for the rival parties and have perused the paper book.
5. In view of above, the petition is allowed and interim order dated 15.01.2024 passed by this Court are made absolute, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.
6. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be interpreted as granting petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned ibid and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.
7. Liberty is reserved in favour of State/complainant to move for cancellation/recall of this order in case the petitioner violates any condition stipulated under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C., 1973 or upon showing any other sufficient cause.
8. Needless to say that anything observed herein above shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.
9. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

February 22, 2024
Ajay

Whether speaking/reasoned:Yes/No

Whether reportable:Yes/No