

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

C.W.P. No. 969-2024
Date of decision: 16.01.2024

Jay Parkash
Vs.
State of Haryana and others

.... Petitioner
.... Respondents

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. Munish Mittal, Advocate, and
Mr. Ankit Kamboj, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Deepak Sabherwal, Advocate
for the respondents-HSVP.

ARUN PALLI, J (Oral)

The petitioner herein has prayed for the following substantive relief:-

“Civil writ petition under articles 226/227 of the constitution of India for the issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing the impugned order dated 15.03.2023 (Annexure P-10) whereby the claim of the petitioner has been rejected.

AND/OR

For issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to allota plot under oustees quota in Sector 21-D, Faridabad as per entitlement under HSVP Policy.”

Served with an advance copy of the petition, Mr. Deepak Sabherwal, Advocate, for respondents-HSVP is present in Court. At the outset, he fairly concedes that the impugned order dated 15.03.2023 (P-10) being bereft of any reasons is unsustainable. Accordingly, learned counsel for the parties submit that in the given circumstances, it would be expedient if this

petition is disposed of, in terms of the order and judgment dated 17.11.2023,

passed by this Court in **CWP No.25849 of 2023 (Sunil Kumar vs. The State of Haryana and others)**, as the matter in issue is squarely covered by the said decision.

The petition is accordingly disposed of in terms of the order passed in the case of *Sunil Kumar (supra)*.

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

16.01.2024
deepak

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No