

116

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-530-2024
Date of Decision:07.02.2024

MANDEEP JANGRA Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Mr. Pankaj Garg, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Aman Dhir, DAG, Punjab.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondents to correctly calculate marks of the petitioner in Paper-1 and Paper-2 for the post of Sub-Inspector.
2. The petitioner pursuant to an advertisement No.1/2021 dated 06.07.2021 applied for the post of Sub-Inspector. There were two papers for the said post. As per petitioner, there is incorrect calculation of marks. The respondent has not correctly calculated marks of question No.2 and 37 as well as other questions which were correctly answered.
3. Learned State counsel submits that respondent has correctly calculated marks of the petitioner in both papers, nevertheless, petitioner may be directed to appear before respondent No.3 who would look into the grievance of the petitioner.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioner agrees with aforesaid

arrangement.

5. In the wake of statement of both sides, the present petition is hereby disposed of with a direction to petitioner to appear before respondent No.3 on 26.02.2024. On doing so, the respondent would look into the grievance of the petitioner and make sure that petitioner is awarded correct marks in both papers.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

07.02.2024
Ali

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>