

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

111. CRWP No.359 of 2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision:15.01.2024

Munsipa and another ... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others ... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mohd. Tarif, Advocate for
Mr. Mazlish Khan, Advocate
for the petitioners.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that petitioners, who belong to Muslim community, have married against the wishes of respondents No.4 to 7 and they claim themselves to be major and seek protection to their life and liberty. They apprehend danger from respondents No.4 to 7. They have placed on record marriage certificate/nikahanama (Annexure P-1) to substantiate their claim. The petitioners have also submitted a representation dated 05.01.2024 (Annexure P-4) to respondent No.2 but no action has been taken so far.
2. Notice of motion to the official respondents only.
3. Ms. Geeta Sharma, DAG Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice for the official respondents. Counsel for the petitioners is directed to supply a copy of complete paper book to the counsel appearing for the State during the course of the day.

4. Without commenting on the validity of the marriage allegedly performed by the petitioners and entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of this petition with direction to respondent No.2 to decide the representation of the petitioners (Annexure P-4) within a period of one week from the date of receipt of a copy of this order and grant them protection, if there is any threat to their life and liberty. It is made clear that this order shall not be taken to protect the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any committed by them.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

January 15, 2024
Pankaj*

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No