


IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

133(3)

2024.PHHC:076932



COCp-1669-2006
Date of decision: 29.05.2024

GIAN KAUR ..Petitioner

Versus

MSHA RANI AND ORS. ..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: None.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

1. On 22.03.2024, the following order was passed:-

*“A batch of three connected cases has come up for hearing after a period of 33 years. The learned counsel who represented the appellant was elevated to the Bench of this Court and has since retired.
Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, the office is directed to issue notices to the parties for 26.04.2024.
To be listed in the urgent list.
A photocopy of this order be placed on the files of the other connected cases.”*

2. Thereafter, the case was taken up on 26.04.2024, and the following order was passed:-

*“It has been brought to the notice of the Court that files of these appeals were not sent to the office. Hence, the office is directed to comply with order dated 22.03.2024.
Notices be issued to the parties for 29.05.2024.
A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of the other connected case.”*

3. The current office report reads as under:-

R. no.1 to 4, R.No.6 to 9	Represented by counsel in cross-appeal i.e. RSA-451 of 1991
R. No.5	Represented by counsel Sh. Ranjan Lakhanpal.

4. As per the office report, the petitioner (respondent in RSA-451-1991) is reported to have died.
5. Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, the civil original contempt petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.
6. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

May 29th, 2024

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Ay

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No