

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-1277-2024  
Date of decision: 21.03.2024

BHUVNESH BHARDWAJ @ BHUNESH PANDIT AND ANOTHER  
....Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER  
....Respondents.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present: - Mr. S.K. Yadav, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Dhruv Sihag, AAG, Haryana.

Ms. Deepika, Advocate for respondent No.2.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, petitioners seek quashing of FIR (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 06.01.2024 (Annexure P-2) executed between the parties. Details of the FIR are as follows:

| FIR No. | Dated      | Sections                                                                                          | Police Station                       |
|---------|------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 0861    | 08.12.2023 | 323, 506, 148 and 149 of IPC and Section 3 of the SC and ST (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989. | City Narnaul, District Mahendergarh. |

2. Status report dated 18.03.2024 in the form of an affidavit of Deputy Superintendent of Police, Narnaul, District Mahendergarh, has been filed. The same is taken on record. Copy thereof has been supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner.

3. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the parties have arrived at a settlement vide compromise

deed dated 06.01.2024 (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by them. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties.

4. Report dated 03.02.2024 from learned trial Court also received. The perusal of the report and status report reveals that only the petitioners remained as accused in the instant FIR registered by respondent No.2, have effected compromise.

5. Chief Judicial Magistrate, Narnaul, vide report dated 03.02.2024, has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure.

6. Respondents No.2 is represented by his counsel and does not oppose the compromise.

7. In view of the report of the Chief Judicial Magistrate, Narnaul, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in "*Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another*", 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and "*Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another*", (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/ accused persons.

8. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. FIR No. 0861 dated 08.12.2023, under Sections 323, 506, 148 and 149 of IPC and Section 3 of the SC and ST (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989, registered at Police Station City, Narnaul, District Mahendergarh (Annexure P-1) and

CRM-M-1277-2024

all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioners.

(SANJIV BERRY)  
JUDGE

21.03.2024  
kanika

- |     |                            |        |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i)  | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable?        | Yes/No |