

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.1139 of 2024
Date of decision: 15th February, 2024

Yogesh Verma

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Pankaj Bali, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Amandeep S. Samra, Asst. Advocate General, Punjab
for the respondent/State.

Mr. B.S. Kundra, Advocate for the respondent No.2.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking the concession of bail under Section 438 Cr.P.C. in case FIR No.73 dated 24.04.2023 under Sections 406, 420 of the IPC registered at Police Station Khanna City-2, Police District Khanna.

2. On the last date of hearing, i.e. 23.01.2024, while noticing the following submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court had granted the concession of interim bail to the petitioner and asked him to join investigation.

“Learned State counsel, on instructions, has not disputed that the petitioner was neither a partner nor proprietor of the jewellery shop, which had allegedly assured the complainant that in case he invests ₹500/- per

month for a period of two years, he would be able to purchase gold jewellery in lieu of the amount deposited. On a pointed query put to the learned State counsel, he on instructions, has also not disputed that the petitioner is not involved in any other criminal case.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the order dated 23.01.2024, the petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions, does not dispute the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. He, on further instructions submits that the petitioner is not required for further investigation much less for his custodial interrogation.

5. In the circumstances, the petition is allowed and the interim order dated 23.01.2024 is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. Needless to say, in case the petitioner misuses the concession of bail granted to him, the State would be at liberty to seek cancellation of the bail granted to him.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

February 15, 2024
rps

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No