

[208-A] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-1559-2024
Date of Decision : 12.02.2024

Paramjit Kaur ...Petitioner

versus

State of PunjabRespondent

Coram : HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present : Mr. Karajveer Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, DAG, Punjab.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

[1] On 12.01.2024, the following order was passed by this Court:-

“ Present: Mr. Karajveer Singh, Advocate for Mr. Piyush Khanna,
Advocate for the petitioner.

*Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail under
Section 438 Cr.P.C in case FIR No.176 dated 28.09.2023 registered under
Sections 22/29 (Act No.61 of 1985) registered at Police Station
Garhshankar, District Hoshiarpur, Punjab.*

*Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that on the ba-
sis of secret information to the effect that some persons were selling intox-
icant injections, 11 persons were named by the police and separate reco-
veries were effected from them. Learned counsel further contends that the
petitioner is not named in the FIR and her name has surfaced only on the
basis of the disclosure statement of co-accused, without specifying the
role. Learned counsel contends that petitioner is involved in one more
case. Learned counsel also contends that similarly placed co-accused
Sandeep Kaur @ Krishna Rani has already been allowed the benefit of
pre-arrest bail vide order dated 04.12.2023 passed in CRM-M-60809-
2023 (Annexure P-3).*

Notice of motion.

*Ms. Kanica Sachdeva, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on be-
half of respondent- State.*

Learned State counsel submits that petitioner is involved in nine other cases pertaining to NDPS Act. However, it is conceded by learned State counsel that no recovery was effected from the petitioner and that her case is similar to that of co-accused Sandeep Kaur @ Krishna Rani.

*Adjourned to 12.02.2024 for filing status report.
Be listed along with CRM-M-60809-2023.*

In the meantime, in case of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. However, it is directed that the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when so required by the Investigating Officer. She shall not contact any person associated with the case to dissuade him from the investigation in any manner whatsoever and nor shall leave the country without prior permission of the Court. She shall further comply with the conditions stipulated in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. ”

[2] Today, learned State Counsel, has informed this Court that the petitioner has joined the investigation, in compliance of the order dated 12.01.2024 and is no longer required for further investigation.

[3] In view of the aforesaid, the order dated 12.01.202, whereby the petitioner was granted interim anticipatory bail, is hereby made absolute. However, she shall continue to join investigation, if and so required by the Investigating Officer.

[4] Disposed of.

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

12.02.2024
'Rajneesh'

Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No