

116

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

2024:PHHC:003145
CWP-523-2024
Date of Decision: 11.01.2024

Simranjit Kaur

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA

Present

Ms. Kulwinder Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. Rajeev K. Kapila, Advocate for the petitioner.

SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA, J.(Oral)

1. The petitioner is aggrieved of the appointment of respondent No.6 as Anganwari Helper and claims that she is more meritorious than respondent No.6.
2. The provision lies for filing an appeal before the Collector, second appeal before the Commissioner and thereafter to the Secretary.
3. Granting liberty to the petitioner to file appeal, it is observed that if an appeal is filed, the same shall be decided after hearing both the parties within a period of three months. It is also made clear that if the petitioner is aggrieved by the order passed in first appeal, it will be open for her to avail remedy, in accordance with law.
4. The writ petition is disposed of accordingly.
5. All pending misc. application(s) also stand disposed of.

(SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA)
JUDGE

11.01.2024
rajesh

1. Whether speaking/reasoned? : Yes/No
2. Whether reportable? : Yes/No