

Date of Decision: 12.01.2024

.....Petitioners

Versus

.....Respondents

Present: Mr. Rajesh Sethi, Advocate and
Ms. Preeti Bansal, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Gaurav Pathak, Advocate,
Senior Panel Counsel for UOI and
Mr. Deepak Kakkar, Advocate for the respondents.

RAJBIR SEHRAWAT, J. (ORAL)

This is a contempt petition filed under Sections 10 and 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, for initiating contempt proceedings against the respondents for violating the order dated 31.07.2015 passed by this Court in CWP-22072-2011.

It is submitted by learned counsel for the respondents that the petitioners-themselves have supplied the list of 96 persons qua whom the order was to be complied with. Out of them, 05 persons have already expired, 02 persons were found to be unfit as per the Military standard and remaining 89 persons have been permanently absorbed in the establishment, though they were required to be recommended on casual basis. The details regarding the remaining persons are neither available with the respondents nor have been supplied by the petitioners. Rather, there is no record available in the office of the respondents qua the remaining persons.

In view of the above, since the order has substantially been complied with, therefore, this Court does not find it appropriate to continue with the present petition any more.

Dismissed.

CM-25167-CII-2018, CM-25387-CII-2018 and CM-27736-CII-2018

These are applications for permitting the applicants as interveners in the main petition.

Since, no particular details of employment of the persons mentioned in the applications have been disclosed, therefore, this Court does not find any ground to permit the applicants as interveners in the main petition.

Dismissed.

The pending miscellaneous applications in the petition are also disposed of as such.

12.01.2024
sandeep

(RAJBIR SEHRAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No