

123

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-1517-2024 (O&M)
Date of decision: 30.04.2024

REENA AND ANOTHER

...PETITIONERS

V/S

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CRM-M-59137-2023 (O&M)
Date of decision: 30.04.2024

HARJIT SINGH AND ANOTHER

...PETITIONERS

V/S

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CRM-M-1762-2024 (O&M)
Date of decision: 30.04.2024

HARJIT SINGH

...PETITIONER

V/S

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Anil Chawla, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Rishabh Singla, AAG Punjab.

Mr. Rahi Mehra, Advocate and
Mr. Vipul Aggarwal, Advocate
for respondents No. 6 to 9 in CRM-M-59137-2023.

Mr. Veneet Sharma, Advocate for
respondent No. 10 in CRM-M-59137-2023,
for respondent No. 6 in CRM-M-1517-2024 and
for respondents No. 6 and 7 in CRM-M-1762-2024.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR J. (ORAL)

1. This order of mine shall dispose of all the aforementioned petitions as similar prayer has been made in all these petitions.

2. Prayer in the present petitions filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is for issuance of directions to the official respondents to call for the status report on the representations dated 12.10.2023 (Annexure P-1) and 16.10.2023 (Annexure P-2) submitted by the petitioners.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that perusal of the status report filed by the State of Punjab clearly indicates that the occurrence as alleged by the petitioners has taken place and the injuries were suffered by the petitioners and the Investigating Agency has wrongly concluded that the possession was taken as per the agreement and the dispute is of civil nature.

4. On the other hand, learned State counsel at the very outset, on instructions from ASI Manjeet Singh submits that the veracity of the allegations contained in the representations Annexure P-1 and P-2 have been thoroughly examined and it has been concluded that no cognizable offence is made out.

5. Learned counsel for private respondents also submit that all these petitions filed by the petitioners are misconceived as multiple petitions have been filed for the same cause of action. It is further contended by learned counsel for respondent No. 10 in CRM-M-59137-2023 that the only prayer made in the present petition is for deciding the representations dated 12.10.2023 (Annexure P-1) and 16.10.2023 (Annexure P-2) and the aforementioned representations have already been decided by the jurisdictional Police authorities and in view of the conclusion drawn by the Investigating Agency, no further action is warranted, in the facts and circumstances of the

case.

6. Having heard the learned counsel for the parties and after going through the record with their able assistance and in view of the specific stand taken by the State of Punjab in the status report, no further directions are required to be issued in the present petitions and in view thereof, all these petitions are disposed of accordingly.

7. However, the petitioners would be at liberty to invoke their alternative remedies as may be available to them in accordance with law.

April 30, 2024

Ajay Goswami

(i) Whether speaking/reasoned

(ii) Whether reportable

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)

JUDGE

Yes/No

Yes/No