

108 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-358-2024 (O&M)

Date of Decision:10.01.2024

Brij Lal

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present:- Mr. Lalit Rishi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Samarth Sagar, Addl.A.G. Haryana.

Mr. Deepak Sabharwal, Advocate
for respondents No.2 and 3.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI J.(Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of a writ in the nature of *Mandamus* directing respondents No. 2 and 3 to grant the benefit of Ist ACP due on 01.06.2019 to the petitioner and also to promote the petitioner to the post of Assistant with effect from the date on which his juniors were promoted with all consequential benefits.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner stated that pertaining to his grievance the petitioner had filed different representations to the respondent-Chief Administrator, HSVP, Panchkula, vide Annexure P-8 and Annexure P-10 and some other representations had also been filed. He further submitted that different grievances had been raised by the petitioner by filing different representations pertaining to the grant of ACP and promotion etc. and has stated that the petitioner will file a fresh comprehensive representation to the concerned authorities within a period of one month from today and the respondents may therefore be directed to decide that representation in accordance with law.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Samarth Sagar, Addl. A.G. Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Deepak Sabharwal, Advocate, who is stated to be on the panel of respondents No.2 and 3-HSVP, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.2 and 3 and has stated that in view of the limited prayer of the petitioners, he has no objection in disposing the present petition to the extent that in case the petitioner files a representation within the aforesaid time frame, the same will be considered and decided by the respondents/concerned authorities in accordance with law.

5. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner has made a limited prayer that since the petitioner had earlier filed different representations pertaining to different grievances and he will now file a fresh comprehensive representation within a period of one month from today, the respondent No.2/competent authority be directed to consider and decide the same in accordance with law.

7. In view of the above, this Court deems it fit and proper to dispose of the present petition at this stage without even calling for the reply from the respondents.

8. Consequently, without expressing anything on the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction that in case the petitioner files a fresh representation to the respondent No.2/competent authority within a period of one month from today then the same shall be considered and decided within a period of three months thereafter and strictly in accordance with law by passing a speaking order.

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

10.01.2024

shweta

Whether speaking/reasoned

: Yes/No

Whether reportable

: Yes/No