

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-988-2024
Date of decision: 29.01.2024

DEEPAK....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present: - Mr. Yashveer Kharb, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Surender Singh, AAG, Haryana.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

1. Status report dated 27.01.2024 in the form of an affidavit of Deputy Superintendent of Police, Traffic, Panipat, has been filed. The same is taken on record. Copy thereof has been supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner.

2 By way of present petition filed under Section 438 of Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR (Annexure P-1) as under:

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
532	21.12.2023	120-B, 34, 342 and 387 of IPC.	Tehsil Camp, District Panipat.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance to the order dated 10.01.2024 passed by this Court, the petitioner has already joined the investigation and as such prayed for confirming the interim bail granted vide order dated 10.01.2024.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions from SI Rameshwar,

CRM-M-988-2024

2

intimates that the petitioner had joined the investigation in the case and is no more required for any custodial investigation in this case nor he is required for further investigation.

5. Keeping in view the respective submissions made by learned State counsel and c onsidering the fact that the petitioner had already joined the investigation and is no more required for further investigation nor he is required for any custodial interrogation, interim bail granted vide order dated 10.01.2024 is hereby confirmed in the present FIR No.532, dated 21.12.2023 under Sections 120-B, 34, 342 and 387 of IPC (Annexure P-1), subject to conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. Further, the petitioner is directed to join investigation as and when required in future by way of written notice for such purpose to be served by Investigating Officer of this case upon the petitioners; he will not tamper with the evidence nor will influence the witnesses and will not leave the country without prior permission of the Court.

6. The petition stands allowed.

7. It is made clear that anything contained hereinabove shall not be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

29.01.2024
kanika

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |