

121

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-1521-2024

Date of Decision: 11.01.2024

SHELLY NAGPAL

.. Petitioner

Vs.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: Mr. Gaurav Vir Singh Behl, Advocate for the petitioner.

...

SUMEET GOEL, J. (Oral)

1. After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner restricts his prayer to the extent that the representation dated 02.11.2023 (copy whereof has been appended as Annexure P-5) may be decided by Inspector-General of Police, Faridkot in a time bound manner.
2. Notice of motion to respondent Nos.1 to 5 only at this stage.
3. On the asking of Court, Mr. Adhiraj Singh, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents.
4. In the facts and circumstances of the case, I find that the prayer made by learned counsel is just and fair.
5. Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the controversy; the Inspector-General of Police, Faridkot is directed to take a decision, in accordance with law, on the representation dated 02.11.2023 (copy whereof has been appended as Annexure P-5) within eight weeks from today and convey the same to the petitioner.
6. Petition stands disposed of.
7. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

11.01.2024

(SUMEET GOEL)

JUDGE

Jasmine Kaur

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

Yes No

Yes No