

202

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-4782-2003 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 06.05.2024.

M/s Lido Restaurant

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana & Ors.

...Respondents

CORAM:

HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA
HON’BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUDEEPTI SHARMA

Present

Mr. Shrenik Jain, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Mamta Singla Talwar, DAG, Haryana for
respondents No.1 to 3.

Ms. Shreya Kaushik, Advocate for respondent No.4.

SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA, J.(Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner as well as for respondents No.1 to 3 have stated that the issue involved in the present case has purely become academic as the same relates to excise policy for the year 2003-2004.
2. We find that by afflux of time, the writ petition has become otiose and the same is accordingly dismissed.
3. All pending misc. application(s) also stand disposed of.

(SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA)

JUDGE

(SUDEEPTI SHARMA)

JUDGE

06.05.2024.

rajesh

1. Whether speaking/reasoned? : Yes/No
2. Whether reportable? : Yes/No