

118 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**CRM-M-1377-2024**

Date of Decision : January 12, 2024

Shingara Singh

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDIPresent: Mr. Parminder Singh Sekhon, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kirat Singh Sidhu, DAG, Punjab

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (Oral)

The prayer in the present petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C. is for grant of interim bail in case bearing FIR No.191 dated 29.10.2022 registered under Section 22 of the NDPS Act, 1985 at Police Station City Samana, District Patiala.

2. The brief facts of the case are that while the police party was on patrolling duty, a young person was seen coming holding a white colour polythene bag in his left hand. On seeing the police party, he threw away the bag and tried to turn back. He was apprehended on suspicion and disclosed his name as Shingara Singh (petitioner) son of Mukhtiar Singh. From the bag thrown by him, a recovery of 71 strips (710 tablets) of Tramadol Hydrochloride Tablets 100 mg Batch No.TDVD 19177 came to be effected.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the marriage of the nephew of the petitioner has been fixed for 21.01.2024 and he seeks interim bail to attend the said function for a period of one month.

CRM-M-1377-2024

4. The learned State counsel, on the other hand, contends that the bail application of the petitioner was dismissed vide a detailed order dated 25.08.2022. Even though the marriage of the nephew of the petitioner has been fixed for 21.01.2024, looking into the allegations levelled against the petitioner, he is not entitled to the concession of interim bail.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

6. The allegations against the petitioner are grave inasmuch as he is found to be in possession of commercial quantity of contraband. Merely because the marriage of his nephew has been fixed for 21.01.2024 is no ground to grant him the concession of interim bail as there are other family members available to be present at the ceremony as per the established rites and rituals.

7. In view of the above, I find no merit in the present petition and the same stands dismissed.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

January 12, 2024
satish

Whether speaking/reasoned : YES / NO

Whether reportable : YES / NO