

COCP-2614-2017 and other connected cases

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Date of decision: 20.02.2024

1.

COCP-2614-2017 (O & M)

HARNEK SINGH AND ORS

...Petitioners
- Versus
- MAHAVIR SINGH AND ANR.

...Respondents
2.

COCP-2846-2017 (O & M)

BANARSI DASS AND ORS.

...Petitioners
- Versus
- JYOTI ARORA AND ANR.

...Respondents
3.

COCP-2915-2017 (O & M)

A.S. MALHOTRA AND ORS.

...Petitioners
- Versus
- JYOTI ARORA AND ANR.

...Respondents
4.

COCP-2916-2017 (O & M)

INDERJEET BHARTI AND ORS.

...Petitioners
- Versus
- JYOTI ARORA AND ANR.

...Respondents
5.

COCP-2917-2017 (O & M)

URMILA SHARMA

...Petitioner
- Versus
- JYOTI ARORA AND ANR.

...Respondents

COCP-2614-2017 and other connected cases

6. COCP-2920-2017 (O & M)

INDER SINGH HOODA AND OTHERS ...Petitioners

Versus

JYOTI ARORA AND ANOTHER ...Respondents

AND

7. COCP-3092-2017 (O & M)

S L GUPTA AND ORS ...Petitioners

Versus

JYOTI ARORA AND ANR ...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT

Present:- Mr. K.L.Arora, Advocate,
for the petitioners in COCP-2614-2017.

None for the petitioners in COCP-2846-2017.

Mr. Ranjit Saini, Advocate,
for the petitioners in COCP-2915-2017,
COCP-2916-2017, COCP-2917-2017, COCP-2920-2017 and
COCP-3092-2017.

Mr. Amit Aggarwal, DAG, Haryana.

RAJBIR SEHRAWAT, J. (Oral)

CM-3183-CII-2024 in COCP-2614-2017.

1. Allowed as prayed for. Annexures A-1 to A-4 are taken on
record, subject to all just exceptions.

Main Cases:

2. This order shall dispose of the above-mentioned seven
contempt petitions, filed for punishing the respondents for disobeying the

COCP-2614-2017 and other connected cases

order dated 30.11.2016 read with order dated 23.12.2016 passed in CWP-11288-2015.

3. Perusal of the order dated 30.11.2016 passed in CWP-11288-2015 shows that the State Counsel had undertaken to pay the revised pension in terms of the letter dated 07.11.2016; qua those persons, who had retired prior to 01.01.2006.

4. Counsel for the respondents has produced the calculations in COCP-2614-2017 regarding revision of pension of the petitioners, showing that pension of all the petitioners has been revised from the amount which was earlier being paid to them. Copy of the said calculations is taken on record.

5. Beyond that, counsel for the petitioners has not shown any violation of the order, as such. Hence, all the petitions are dismissed.

6. However, the petitioners would be at liberty to avail any other alternate remedy, in accordance with law, if any grievance is left un-addressed.

7. Pending applications are disposed of, as such.

20.02.2024
parveen kumar

(RAJBIR SEHRAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No