

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M-1993-2024

Date of Decision:-18.04.2024

Kuldeep Singh.

.....Petitioner.

Vs.

State of Punjab & Anr.

.....Respondents.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present:- Mr. Gagandeep Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Arshdeep Singh Brar, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Mr. Mohit Saroha, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. Balbir Kumar Saini, Advocate for respondent no.2.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J.(ORAL)

The Prayer in this petition under Section 482 Cr.PC is for the quashing of the Complaint No.RT-53 of 15.06.2006 Date of Institution 15.06.2006 under Sections 418, 420, 422, 463, 464, 465, 467, 468, 471 IPC and all other consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 28.11.2023 (Annexure P-3) entered into between the parties.

Vide order dated 15.01.2024 this Court had directed the parties to appear before Illaqa Magistrate for getting their statements recorded in terms of certain parameters given in the aforesaid order dated 15.01.2024 with regard to the compromise dated 28.11.2023 (Annexure P-3).

In terms of the order dated 15.01.2024 passed by this Court

parties have appeared before the court of Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Moga and as per report dated 21.02.2024 submitted to this Court, both the parties have got recorded their respective statements in Court.

Perusal of the aforesaid report would show that the parties have effected a genuine compromise without there being any pressure, coercion or undue influence. In view of the compromise there is a remote possibility of the complainant coming forward to support the prosecution case. The powers under Section 482 Cr.PC can be exercised in such like situation in order to prevent unnecessary vagaries of criminal trial to be faced by the parties, when there are remote chances of conviction of the accused. The compromise in question is found to be fully in consonance with the direction issued by the Court in *Kulwinder Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052* and *Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr., 2012(4) RCR (Crl.) 543*.

In view of the aforesaid report of the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Moga accompanied by statements of both the parties, the Complaint No.RT-53 of 15.06.2006 Date of Institution 15.06.2006 under Sections 418, 420, 422, 463, 464, 465, 467, 468, 471 IPC and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed.

Petition stands disposed of.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

April 18, 2024
Vinay

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No