

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(235)

CWP-4468-2023

Date of Decision : January 20, 2024

Chotu Ram and others

.. Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Aditya Yadav, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Sandeep Singh Mann, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

1. In the present writ petition, the petitioners are claiming the benefit of appointment on the basis of the fact that the candidates in the waiting list are also required to be given appointment keeping in view the judgment of this Court passed in ***CWP No.24437 of 2013 titled as Kulwinder Singh and others vs. State of Haryana and others, decided on 18.09.2017 (Annexure P-6)***, which judgment has been affirmed in LPA No.1132 of 2018.

2. Upon notice of motion, the respondents have filed the reply and in paragraph 14-A of the reply, the respondents have given the merit position of the petitioner and also the remarks as to why, they could not be recruited.

3. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone

through the record with their able assistance.

4. The claim of the petitioners is that they should be given appointment from the waiting list. Even the appointment from the waiting list is to be given on the basis of the merit as assigned in the waiting list. As per the respondents, no candidate lower in the merit than the petitioners has been appointed and as per the merit of the petitioners, they are not entitled for the grant of appointment against the advertised post. That being the factual position, no grievance can be raised by the petitioners and no order can be passed by this Court granting the petitioners the benefit of appointment as being claimed in the present writ petition.

5. The present writ petition is dismissed accordingly.

January 20, 2024

harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No