

2024:PHHC:001554

**In the High Court for the States of Punjab and Haryana
At Chandigarh**

CRWP-157-2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision:-9.1.2024

Vanshita Sharma and another ... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others ... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Shiv Parkash, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. C.L. Pawar, Addl.A.G., Punjab.

GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J. (Oral)

1. Petitioner No.1 – Vanshita Sharma aged about 21 years, and petitioner No.2 – Ahmad Farooq aged about 19 years, have approached this Court seeking issuance of a direction to the official respondents to protect their lives and liberty as they apprehend threat to the same at the hands of private respondents, having married against the wishes of their families. While petitioner No.2 is ‘Muslim’ by religion, petitioner No.1 is ‘Hindu’ by religion and is stated to have converted to ‘Muslim’ and affidavit in this regard has been annexed with the petition as Annexure P-4.
2. Without commenting as regards the veracity of the averments made in the petition and also as regards the validity of alleged marriage of the petitioners, the petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 – Senior

Superintendent of Police, Malerkotla, District Malerkotla to look into the matter and to dispose off the representation dated 4.1.2024 (Annexure P-5) in accordance with law. In case, it is found that there is a genuine threat to the lives and liberty of the petitioners, then necessary steps warranted under law be taken thereupon at the earliest so as to ensure that no harm is caused to the petitioners.

- 3. A copy of this order alongwith copy of the representation dated 4.1.2024 (Annexure P-5) be sent to respondent No.2 – Senior Superintendent of Police, Malerkotla, District Malerkotla so as to enable him to do the needful expeditiously.
- 4. It is, however, clarified that the aforesaid order shall not be taken to be any expression as regards the validity of marriage of the petitioners and shall not confer any immunity upon the petitioners, in case it is found that they have committed any wrong.

9.1.2024
pankaj

(Gurvinder Singh Gill)
Judge

Whether speaking /reasoned	Yes / No
Whether Reportable	Yes / No