

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-954-2024
Date of decision: 20.03.2024

HARMANPREET SINGH @ KABIR AND OTHERS
....Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS
....Respondents.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present: - Dr. Sumati Jund, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Ankit Grewal, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Ramandeep Singh Brar, Advocate
for respondents No.2 and 3.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, petitioners seek quashing of FIR (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 01.01.2024 (attested on 03.01.2024) (Annexure P-2) executed between the parties. Details of the FIR are as follows:

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
279	23.12.2023	323, 336, 506, 148 and 149 of IPC	Division No.8, Police Commissionerate Jalandhar, Punjab.

2. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the parties have arrived at a settlement vide compromise deed dated 01.01.2024 (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by them. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the

CRM-M-954-2024

2

parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties.

3. Report dated 04.03.2024 received from learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Jalandhar, regarding recording of statement of respondent No.3- Sohan Singh, wherein he has pleaded no objection in case the FIR is quashed on the basis of compromise in question. Learned trial Court has earlier submitted the report dated 23.01.2024 regarding the recording of statements of other parties.

4. Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Jalandhar, vide report dated 04.03.2024, has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure.

5. Respondents No.2 and 3 are represented by their counsel and do not oppose the compromise.

6. In view of the report of the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Jalandhar, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **"Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another", 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543** and **"Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another", (2014) 6 SCC 466**, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/accused persons.

7. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. FIR No. 279 dated 23.12.2023, under Sections 323, 336, 506, 148 and 149 of IPC, registered at Police Station Division No.8, Police Commissionerate

CRM-M-954-2024

Jalandhar, Punjab (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioners.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

20.03.2024
kanika

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |