



CRM-M-1373-2024 (O&M) - 1 -

287 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-1373-2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 28.11.2024

KRISHAN KUMAR ... PETITIONER

V/S

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER ... RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN

Present: Mr. Parveen Chauhan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Kumar Grewal, DAG Haryana.

Mr. Saurav Gurbal, Advocate for
Mr. Sarvesh Gupta, Advocate, for respondent No. 2.

* * *

HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN, J. (ORAL)

[1] The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of FIR No. 190, dated 21.10.2021, registered at Police Station Kalka, District Panchkula, under Sections 354-D and 506 of the IPC [Section 3 of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, added later on], on the basis of a compromise deed dated 30.12.2023 (Annexure P-2) executed between the parties.

[2] Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* contends that the matter has been compromised and a compromise deed dated 30.12.2023 (Annexure P-2) has been executed between the parties. Apart from this, the office bearers of the 'Vikas Mahila Block Sangthan', have also passed a resolution dated 02.02.2024 (Annexure A-1) whereby the organization has no objection if the matter is compromised between the parties.

[3] Learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent No. 2 has

**CRM-M-1373-2024 (O&M) - 2 -**

confirmed the factum of compromise between the parties.

[4] On 12.08.2024, the parties were directed to appear before the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate for recording of their statements regarding the compromise.

[5] As per the report dated 09.09.2024, received from the learned Addl. District & Sessions Judge, Panchkula, the compromise effected between the parties is genuine, voluntary and without any coercion or undue influence. The petitioner has not been declared as “Proclaimed Offender” and he is not involved in any other criminal case.

[6] Learned State counsel has not raised any objection regarding the acceptance of the present petition.

[7] The private dispute between the parties stands settled. Keeping in view the fact that the compromise between the parties is genuine and voluntarily made and in view of the ratio of the decision of Hon’ble Apex Court in *Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab (SC) 2012 (4) RCR (Criminal) 543* and the decision of Hon’ble the Full Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and others 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*, the ends of justice would meet if the FIR and consequential proceedings are quashed by accepting the compromise between the parties.

[8] Consequently, this petition is allowed and FIR No. 190, dated 21.10.2021, registered at Police Station Kalka, District Panchkula, under Sections 354-D and 506 of the IPC [Section 3 of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, added later on] and all the subsequent proceedings emanating therefrom are ordered to be quashed, qua



CRM-M-1373-2024 (O&M) - 3 -

the petitioner.

[9] However, respondent No.2 and the State shall be at liberty to seek cancellation of this order, in case the final terms and conditions of compromise are violated.

[10] Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

28.11.2024
Janki

(HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No