



**228-4 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-268-2023
Date of decision: 16.10.2024

GURIQBAL SINGH **...PETITIONER**

VERSUS

MARKFED AND ANR. **...RESPONDENTS**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: Mr.K.B.Raheja, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Teevar Sharma, AAG, Punjab.

NAMIT KUMAR ,J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner, who is an outsourced employee, has approached this Court by way of filing the instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ of mandamus, directing the respondents not to remove the petitioner by way of any other contractual employee and consider the case of the petitioner to make him regular.
2. Learned State counsel submits that the present petition is squarely covered by a judgment of this Court dated 20.01.2024 passed in **CWP No.25061 of 2022**, titled as "**Manpreet Kaur Versus State of Punjab and others**" and **other connected cases**, wherein it has been held that writ petition against the service provider is not maintainable and liberty has been given to avail other legal remedies in accordance with law against the service provider.
3. Dismissed in the same terms as in **CWP-25061-2022** titled as "**Manpreet Kaur Versus State of Punjab and others and other connected cases**, decided on **20.01.2024**."

16.10.2024

renubala

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No