

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

206

CRR-101-1988
Date of Decision: 19.01.2024

U.T. Chandigarh

VS

Som Nath

...Petitioner

...Respondent

CORAM : HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present: Mr. J.S. Toor, APP, U.T. Chd. for the petitioner.
None for the respondent.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J (ORAL)

1. As noticed in the order dated 29.05.2019, since the paper book of the case was not available with the Registry, learned counsel for the petitioner as well as respondent had been directed to furnish the same.
2. Time and again the matter was adjourned for the aforesaid purpose but the needful has not been done.
3. In view of the above, there is no option but to dismiss the present revision petition for want of prosecution, reserving with the parties, a liberty to get the same revived.
4. Ordered accordingly.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

19.01.2024
Hemant

Whether speaking/reasoned

:

Yes/No

Whether reportable

:

Yes/No