

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

102

TA-15-2024 (O&M)
Date of decision: 18.04.2024

Nirmala

...Petitioner

Versus

Ravinder

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI

Present: Mr. Vivek Sethi, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Ojas Bansal, Advocate for the respondent.

VIKAS SURI, J. (Oral)

1. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner states that the present petition has been rendered infructuous as the petition filed by the respondent-husband under Section 6 of the Hindu Minority and Guardianship Act, 1956, and Section 25 of the Guardians and Wards Act, 1890, sought to be transferred, has since been disposed of on 19.03.2024. Thus, he prays that the instant petition be disposed of as such.
2. Learned counsel for the respondent affirms the aforesaid and raises no objection for the petition being disposed of.
3. Disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

April 18, 2024
sumit.k

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :

Whether Reportable :

Yes / No

Yes / No