



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

102+202

COCp-2307-2015 (O & M)
Date of decision: 06.05.2024

RESIDENTS WELFARE FEDERATION SEC-45A CHANDIGARH

...Petitioner

Versus

SATYA GOPAL AND ORS

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT

Present:- Mr. Ashok Sharma Nabhewala, Advocate and
Ms. Gauri Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. G.S.Wasu, Advocate and
Mr. Indresh Goel, Advocate,
for respondents No.1 and 2.

Mr. Sanjiv Ghai, Advocate and
Mr. Parminder S. Kaul, Advocate,
for Municipal Corporation, Chandigarh.

RAJBIR SEHRAWAT, J. (Oral)

CM-24047-CII-2018

1. Allowed as prayed for. Status report filed by way of affidavit of Ajay Grover, Superintending Engineer, Chandigarh Housing Board, Sector-9, Chandigarh, is taken on record.

COCp-2307-2015

1. This is a contempt petition filed under Sections 10 and 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, for punishing the respondents for disobeying the order dated 23.03.2012 passed in CWP-20578-2011 and dated 13.12.2013 passed in CM-9619-2012 in CWP-20578-2011.

2. Perusal of the affidavits filed by N.P.Sharma, Superintending



COC-2307-2015

/2/

Engineer, Municipal Corporation, Chandigarh and Ajay Grover, Superintending Engineer, Chandigarh Housing Board, Chandigarh, as well as, the photographs placed on record shows that necessary galvanized iron (.G.I.) pipes/railings have been installed to segregate the sector area from the village area.

3. In view of the above, this Court does not find any ground to continue with the present petition any more.

4. Dismissed.

5. However, if the petitioner finds any mischief being done by any particular individual qua unauthorized opening of railings installed by the authorities, it would be at liberty to approach the concerned authorities; alongwith concrete evidence and identity of the mischief monger, and to avail further remedy before the authorities.

CM-4758-CII-2016

CM-2105-CII-2017

CM-22775-CII-2017

CM-4296-CII-2024

1. Since the main petition has been decided, therefore, all these applications have become infructuous.

2. Dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

06.05.2024

parveen kumar

(RAJBIR SEHRAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No