

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-1004-2024
Date of decision: 21.02.2024

Sukhdev Singh @ Sukhdeb SinghPetitioner.

Versus

State of Haryana and othersRespondents.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present:- Mr. Aditya Sanghi, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Surender Singh, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Sumit Sharma, Advocate,
for Mr. Lokesh Sharma, Advocate,
for respondent No. 3.

.....

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

1. Instant petition has been preferred under Section 482 Cr.PC, seeking quashing of the FIR (Annexure P-2), and all other consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise/affidavit dated 02.12.2023 (Annexure P-6) executed between the parties. Details of the FIR are as under: -

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
53	13.02.2022	323, 324, 506 IPC	City Ratia, District Fatehabad, Haryana

2. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the parties have arrived at a settlement vide compromise deed dated 02.12.2023 (Annexure P-6), which is duly signed by them. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties.

3. Learned Additional Civil Judge (Sr. Division)-cum-Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Ratia, vide report dated 12.02.2024 has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure. It is further reported that in compliance to the order dated 05.02.2024, cost of Rs. 10,000/- has been deposited with the Poor Patients Welfare Fund, PGIMER, Chandigarh.

4. Respondent No. 3 is represented by his counsel and does not oppose the compromise.

5. In view of the aforesaid report of learned Additional Civil Judge (Sr. Division)-cum-Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Ratia, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **“Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543** and **“Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”, (2014) 6 SCC 466**, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant/respondent No. 3 has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioner.

6. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. FIR No. 53 dated 13.02.2022 under Sections 323, 324 and 506 IPC, registered at Police Station City Ratia, District Fatehabad, Haryana, (Annexure P-2) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioner.

21.02.2024
preeti

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |