

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

103

**2024:PHHC:009150
CWP No.259 of 2024
Date of Decision:24.01.2024**

Paramjit Singh

....Petitioner

VS.

Union of India and another

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Mr. B.S.Kathuria, Advocate
for the petitioner

Mr. Balwinder Sangwan, Advocate for
Ms.Shreyansi Verma, Central Govt. Counsel
for the Union of India

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. On 09.01.2024, the following order was passed by this Court:-

“The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondents to re-issue him passport.

The petitioner was issued passport which expired on 20.10.2020. An FIR No. 103 dated 12.10.2012, under Section 18 of the NDPS Act, 1985 came to be registered against the petitioner at Police Station Sadar Nawanshehar, District SBS Nagar. The petitioner was convicted vide judgment dated 11.03.2016 and was awarded sentence of 10 years. The petitioner preferred CRA-S-2454-SB of 2016 before this Court which is still pending. The sentence of the petitioner is suspended vide order dated 18.04.2018 passed by this Court. The petitioner has filed application seeking

passport on 16.09.2023 which is still pending.

Notice of motion, returnable for 24.01.2024.

Ms. Shreyansi Verma, Central Government Counsel for Union of India, who on advance notice is present in Court, accepts notice and waives service. She seeks time to get instructions.

To be shown in the urgent list.”

2. Counsel appearing for Union of India submits that as per his instructions, no application of the petitioner is pending with passport authorities. The petitioner may file a fresh application and if he opts so, it would be decided expeditiously and in accordance with law.

3. In the wake of statement of counsel for Union of India, the petition stands disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

24.01.2024
paramjit

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes
Whether reportable: No