

[217] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-379-2024
Date of Decision : 14.02.2024

Ritu ...Petitioner
versus
State of HaryanaRespondent

Coram : **HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA**

Present : Mr. Vishal Garg Narwana, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Sumit Jain, Addl. AG, Haryana.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

[1] Status report by way of affidavit dated 25.01.2024 of Shri
Jitender Singh, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Jind-Law and Order,
District Jind, on behalf of respondent-State has already been received
through Registry. Copy thereof supplied to learned counsel opposite.

[2] On 08.01.2024, the following order was passed by this Court:-

“ Present: Mr. S.K. Garg Narwana, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Vishal Garg Narwana, Mr. R.P.S. Jammu, and
Mr. Nitin Sachdeva, Advocates for the petitioner.

*By way of present petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C.,
petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.330 dated
29.09.2022 registered under Sections 406 and 420 IPC (Sections 201 and
120-B IPC added later on during investigation) at Police Station Julana,
District Jind.*

*It is contended by learned Sr. counsel that petitioner has
been falsely implicated. Allegations are against Shyam Lal and Seema,
who used to collect the cash amount from the complainant and others for
depositing in the R.D. account or the FD but usurped the amount.*

*Learned counsel drawn attention towards the contents of
the FIR submitting that the only allegation against the petitioner is that by
getting the Power of Attorney of properties of his father Shyam Lal, she is
selling the property to the people by taking money and not clearing the
accounts of complainant and others.*

Notice of motion.

Mr. Parveen Kumar Aggarwal, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

Adjourned to 14.02.2024 for filing status report.

In the meantime, in case of her arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/ Investigating Officer. However, it is further directed that the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when so required by the Investigating Officer. She shall not contact any person associated with the case to dissuade her from the investigation in any manner whatsoever and nor shall leave the country without prior permission of the Court. She shall further comply with the conditions stipulated in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. ”

[3] Today, learned State Counsel, on instructions from ASI Raj Kumar, has informed this Court that the petitioner has joined the investigation, in compliance of the order dated 08.01.2024 and is no longer required for further investigation.

[4] In view of the aforesaid, the order dated 08.01.2024, whereby the petitioner was granted interim anticipatory bail, is hereby made absolute. However, she shall continue to join investigation, if and so required by the Investigating Officer.

[5] Disposed of.

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

14.02.2024
'Rajneesh'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No