

Date of decision: 29.04.2024

.....*Petitioner*

VS

.....*Respondents*

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rajbir Sehrawat

Present: Mr. Anil Rathee, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, DAG, Haryana
Mr. H S Oberoi, Advocate for respondent No. 3

Rajbir Sehrawat, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Sections 10 and 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 for punishing the respondents for not implementing the directions dated 21.01.2010 passed by this Court in CWP 15932-1993.
2. Notice of motion.
3. Mr. Gunjan Mehta, Additional Advocate General, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and submits that the order qua which the contempt is asserted; has been complied with and the necessary amount, payable to the petitioner, has already been released and, as such, the present petition may be dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.
4. Per contra, counsel for the petitioner has submitted that though the claim of the petitioner has already been satisfied, but some aspects are yet to be decided by the respondents. Therefore, the petitioner may be granted liberty to avail any other remedy, in accordance with law.
5. In view of the above, the present petition is rendered infructuous and dismissed as such. CM 6790-CII-2024 also stands disposed of.
6. However, if any grievance of the petitioner is left unredressed, he would at liberty to avail any other alternate remedy, in accordance with law.

(Rajbir Sehrawat)
Judge

29.04.2024

mohan bimbura

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes/No

Whether reportable Yes/No