



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CM-626-CWP-2021 & CM-627- CWP-2021 in/and
RA-CW-16-2021 in CWP-13020-2020
Date of Decision:24.07.2024

Multan Singh Mehla

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present:- Mr. Madan Pal, Advocate for the applicant-petitioner.


Mr. Kapil Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. P.K. Mutneja, Sr. Advocate with
Ms. Suverna Mutneja, Advocate for respondent No.2.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI J.(Oral)

RA-CW-16-2021

1. It has been submitted by both the learned counsels for the parties that earlier in the service record of the applicant/petitioner his date of birth was 07.08.1960 and by virtue of the decree of the Civil Court, Kaithal vide Annexure P-5, the Education Board has corrected the date of birth of the applicant-petitioner as 01.12.1961.
2. Learned counsels have also pointed out that the applicant-petitioner has already attained the age of superannuation i.e. 60 years on 31.12.2021 even if considering the date of birth to be 01.12.1961.
3. Mr. P.K. Mutneja, learned Senior Counsel for respondent No.2,



submitted that since the petitioner has already attained the age of superannuation and the only issue involved in the present case is with regard to the age of the petitioner, therefore, review application is not sustainable.

4. After hearing learned counsels for the parties, no ground is made out in the review application and as such the same is hereby dismissed.

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

24.07.2024
shweta

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No