

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

211 (03)

CRM-M-386-2023
Date of Decision.:29.01.2024

Gitanjali Sharma

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present: Mr. Randhir Singh Thind, Advocate with
Mr. Arpinder Singh Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Anmol Singh Sandhu, AAG, Punjab
assisted by ASI Gurdial Singh.

Mr. Deepak Aggarwal, Advocate
for the complainant. (except Rajinder Singh
son of Manjit Singh).

DEEPAK GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

It was noticed in the order dated 17.08.2023 that against the investment of ₹2,00,000/- in the company by the petitioner through various transactions from his account, he had received ₹1,18,500/- from the company and thus ₹81,500/- was still due towards him.

2. Learned State, on instructions from ASI Gurdial Singh, also informed that petitioner has already joined the investigation and after conclusion of the investigation, even challan has already been filed.

3. In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances, the present petition is hereby accepted. Petitioner is allowed anticipatory bail in case FIR No.151 dated 29.10.2022 registered under Sections 420, 120-B of the

IPC registered at Police Station Cantt Bathinda, District Bathinda.

4. It is directed that in the event of arrest of the petitioner, the petitioner shall be released on bail subject to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. However, it is directed that petitioner shall not contact any person associated with the case to influence him during trial in any manner whatsoever; nor shall leave the country without prior permission of the Court. She shall further comply with the conditions stipulated in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Disposed of.

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

January 29, 2024
Neetika Tuteja

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No