



CWP-227-2021

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(240)

CWP-227-2021

Date of Decision : October 14, 2024

Harjinder Kaur

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Sandeep Kumar, Advocate, with
Mr. Sahil Nain, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Charan Preet Singh, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

1. In the present writ petition, the challenge is to the selection which has been made by the respondents to the post of ETT Teacher keeping in view the Advertisement dated 06.03.2020 (Annexure P-1). The challenge in the present writ petition is on the ground that five marks given to the petitioner for possessing higher qualification initially have been withdrawn.

2. Learned State counsel submits that the petitioner competed for the post of ETT Teachers on the basis of qualification of B.A/B.Ed. and the candidate with that qualification has been held to be ineligible to compete for the post keeping in view the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of

India in *Civil Appeal No.5068 of 2023 titled as Devesh Sharma vs. Union*



CWP-227-2021

2

of India and others, decided on 11.08.2023 and the said judgment has been followed by this Court while passing order in **CWP No.14546 of 2024 titled as Deepak Kumar and others vs. State of Punjab and others, decided on 11.07.2024.**

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has not been able to rebut the said fact.

4. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record with their able assistance.

5. Once, the petitioner keeping in view his qualification, is ineligible for appointment to the post of ETT Teacher, whether the petitioner was entitled for five marks, have now become irrelevant. Once the petitioner and other similarly situated candidates who were competing on the basis of B.Ed. qualification have been rendered ineligible, which action of the State has already been upheld in **Deepak Kumar's case (supra)**, no ground is made out for any interference by this Court in the present case.

6. Dismissed.

October 14, 2024

harsha

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No