

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.4765 of 2024

Date of decision: 31st January, 2024

Rajwinder Kaur & another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Prince Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in the instant petition is for issuance of appropriate direction to the official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners and their family members at the hands of the private respondents, with a further prayer to direct the official respondents to look into the representation dated 20.12.2023 (Annexure P-7) made by the petitioners and to take legal action against the private respondents.

2. Learned counsel submits that vide representation (Annexure P-7), respondent No.2 – Senior Superintendent of Police, District Tarn Taran had been duly intimated about the fraud committed upon the petitioners at the hands of private respondents. However, the authorities concerned had failed to initiate any action against the offenders. Learned counsel contends that despite respondent No.2 having been apprised about the commission of cognizable offence by the accused persons, the

official respondents had failed to proceed against them under the provisions of law. It has been submitted that the official respondents were under a legal obligation to pass an appropriate order on their representation.

3. Notice of motion to the official respondents.

4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. APS Tung, Dy. Advocate General, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the said respondents.

5. In the wake of the limited prayer of the petitioners, the petition is disposed of and respondent No.2 i.e. Senior Superintendent of Police, District Tarn Taran is directed to look into their grievance and take appropriate steps, if any required, under the provisions of law. However, if respondent No.2 does not find the commission of any cognizable offence as alleged or any substance in the allegations levelled in the representation dated 20.12.2023 (Annexure P-7) then the petitioners shall be duly informed as per the parameters laid down by the Supreme Court in *Lalita Kumari v. Government of Uttar Pradesh & Ors.* 2013 (4) RCR (Criminal) 979.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

January 31, 2024

rps

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No