

2552024:PHHC:036910

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-108-2024

Date of Decision: March 14, 2024

ASHISH LAGOTRA

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Petitioner

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Ms. L.M. Gulati, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Siddharth Sandhu, AAG, Punjab.

HARKESH MANUJA, J. (ORAL)

1. By way of present petition filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India, prayer has been made for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus for directing respondent No.2 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners.
2. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that instead of pressing the present petition on merits, his client would be satisfied in case direction is issued to the respondents No.1 to 3 to decide the representation (Annexure P-2) moved at the instance of petitioner by passing a speaking order expeditiously.
3. Prayer seems to be justified.
4. Reply by way of affidavit of Surinderpal PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub-Division Bholath, Kapurthala, has been filed on behalf of respondents No.-1 to 3 today in the Court, the same is taken on record. Learned State counsel raises no objection to the innocuous prayer made on behalf of the petitioner.

5. In view of the agreed stand taken by both sides, but without going into the merits of the controversy, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Kapurthala, to look into the representation (Annexure P-2) and take appropriate action in accordance with law by passing a speaking order preferably within a period of 04 (four) weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.
6. The aforesaid direction shall not be treated as an expression of opinion on the merits of the averments made in the representation.

14.03.2024

Tejwinder

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>