



CRM-M-340-2024

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

217

CRM-M-340-2024

Date of Decision:- 07.08.2024

Anita

....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM:-HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present:- Mr. R.V.S.Chugh, Advocate for petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Madaan, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

AMARJOT BHATTI, J.

1. Anita has filed anticipatory bail in FIR No.0175 dated 19.10.2023, under Sections 420, 120-B of IPC, registered at Police Station Jhunir, District Mansa (Annexure P-1).

2. As per facts of the case, a written complaint was filed against Jaspal Singh, Tarsem Singh, Gurmeet Kaur for forging and preparing fabricated power of attorney of his mother in connivance with the witnesses and executed sale deed of the land belonging to his mother in a wrongful and illegal manner. It was alleged that Sukhpal Kaur @ Baljinder Kaur mother of the complainant was 100% disabled (dumb and deaf) since her birth. His mother and his brother can understand each other's conversation by gestures. Jaspal Singh and Tarsem Singh are

**CRM-M-340-2024****-2-**

nephews of his mother and accused Gurmeet Kaur is sister-in-law of his mother. About 15/20 days ago they had gone to Hazoor Sahib for paying obeisance. His mother accompanied accused persons and his brother Lakhwinder Singh was not allowed to accompany her. It was disclosed that the accused in connivance with each other and the witnesses managed to procure power of attorney of his mother in a wrongful and illegal manner and prepared forged and fabricated sale deeds both dated 14.08.2023 regarding the property belonging to his mother. On the basis of this FIR, investigation was carried out and present petitioner Anita was also named as accused.

3. Learned counsel for petitioner argued that Anita is not beneficiary in the said sale deeds. Her name has been wrongly dragged in this FIR. It is alleged that she had identified the witnesses. No offence under Section 420 of IPC is made out. Co-accused Gurmeet Kaur has been granted regular bail by learned Sessions Judge, Mansa. She is ready to abide by the terms of bail order. Therefore, her anticipatory bail application may be allowed.

4. Bail application is opposed by learned counsel representing State. Detailed status report has been filed. It is pointed out that investigation of this case is still going on. Other accused Jaspal Singh is yet to be arrested. It is conceded that present petitioner has already joined the investigation and she is not involved in any other case.

5. I have considered the arguments and have gone through the record. Present petitioner was granted interim bail vide order dated 08.01.2024 and thereafter, she has already joined the investigation. Merits of the case will be seen at the time of trial. She is not required for any



CRM-M-340-2024 -3-

other purpose. She is still ready to join investigation as and when required, therefore, without expressing my mind on the merits of the case, interim bail already granted to petitioner-Anita vide order dated 08.01.2024 stands confirmed subject to the conditions specified under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

- 6. Petition is accordingly allowed.
- 7. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand disposed of accordingly.

07.08.2024
Sunil Devi

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No