

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

225 CRM-M-377-2024
Date of Decision.:28.02.2024

Sanjeev Kumar Sharma @ Sanjeev KumarPetitioner
Vs.
State of PunjabRespondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present:- Mr. Narinder S. Lucky, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Karnesh Verma, Advocate with
Mr. Ananya Ahluwalia, Advocate
for the complainant.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking regular bail by way of petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C in case FIR No.196 dated 02.10.2023 registered under Sections 420, 465, 467, 468, 471, 201, 120-B of the IPC registered at Police Station Civil Lines, District Police Commissionerate Amritsar.

2. Status report by way of an affidavit of Shri Navjot Singh, PPS, Additional Deputy Commissioner of Police, Investigation, Amritsar along with custody certificate has been filed on behalf of respondent- State.
3. Arguments as addressed by learned counsel for all the sides have been heard.
4. All the offences are triable by Magistrate. Petitioner is in custody for the last 02 months and 16 days as per the custody certificate.

CRM-M-377-2024

The name of the petitioner had emerged in the disclosure statement of co-accused Jagmeet Singh, who alleged that petitioner was in conspiracy with him and created the forged and fabricated insurance covers of the trucks purchased from the Cargo Motors.

5. No purpose shall be served by keeping the petitioner detained as trial may take long time to conclude.
6. Having regard to the above facts and circumstances, but without commenting anything further on the merits of the case, this petition is allowed and petitioner is admitted to bail on his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Learned Trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned, on usual terms and conditions.

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

February 28, 2024
Neetika Tuteja

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No