

*missing. It is a case of sudden fight. Learned counsel relies upon judgment passed by Hon'ble Supreme Court in **State of Madhya Pradesh vs. Laxmi Narayan, (2019) 5 SCC 688**. Moreover, the invocation of the offence under Section 307 IPC is totally misplaced in the absence of any specific opinion by the Medical Officer with regard to injury being dangerous to life.*

Notice of motion.

At this stage, on the asking of the Court Mr. Subhash Godara, Addl. A.G. Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1-State and Mr. Harmanpreet S. Mander, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 2 and 3 and files his Power of Attorney and admits to the factum of compromise. Copy of the paper book be supplied to them during the course of day.

Service is complete.

The parties are directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate within two weeks or any other date convenient to concerned Court to get their statements recorded regarding compromise and after recording their statements, learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate is directed to send report regarding the genuineness of compromise and also to intimate whether any PO proceedings are pending against any of the petitioners on or before the date fixed i.e. 14.02.2024.

A copy of the order be sent to the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate through fax for compliance.”

3. In compliance of the above order, a report has been received from the concerned jurisdictional Court that the compromise between the parties is genuine and arrived at without any pressure or coercion from anyone.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in view of the law laid down by Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Jayrajsinh Digvijaysinh Rana Vs. State of Gujrat and Another 2012(4) RCR (Crl.) 589** and this Court in **Parambir Singh Gill Vs. Malkiat Kaur 2010(1) RCR (Crl.) 256**

CRM-M-1113-2024

and, partial compromise is permissible.

5. In view of the compromise and the ratio of law laid down by the Hon’ble Supreme Court in **Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466, Ramgopal and another Vs. State of Madhya Pradesh 2021 SCC OnLine SC 834 and Shakuntala Sawhney (Mrs) Vs. Kaushalya (Mrs.) and others (1980) 1 SCC 63** and Full Bench of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab 2007 (3) RCR (Cr1.) 1052**, this petition is allowed and FIR No. 92 dated 01.09.2023 registered under Sections 307, 323, 324, 506, 427 and 34 of Indian Penal Code at Police Station Payal, Police District Khanna and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are quashed, qua the petitioners.

14.02.2024
Ajay Goswami

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No