

CWP-112-2024

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

130

CWP-112-2024
Date of Decision: 08.01.2024

Balwinder Singh and others ...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and Others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. Krishan Kumar Thakur, Advocate for the petitioners
Mr. Inderpreet Singh Kang, AAG, Punjab

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioners through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India are seeking direction to respondents to count the service rendered as Special Police Officer for qualifying service for the purpose of pensionary/retiral benefits
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* contends that case of the petitioner is squarely covered by judgment dated 16.03.2023 of this Court in *Sukhmander Singh and others v. State of Punjab and others, CWP No.5648 of 2017*.
3. Mr. Inderpreet Singh Kang, AAG, Punjab, who on advance notice is present in Court submits that in terms of judgment of this Court in *Sukhmander Singh (supra)*, the respondent authorities in the case of various officials have considered their claim and passed orders. He further asserts that appropriate authority would consider case of the petitioner in terms of

CWP-112-2024

-2-

judgment of this Court in *Sukhmander Singh (supra)* and pass an appropriate order within three months from today.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners agrees to the aforesaid arrangement.

5. In the wake of statements of both sides, the present petition stands disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

08.01.2024
Mohit Kumar

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>