

[218] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-395-2024
Date of Decision : 14.02.2024

Baljinder Singh and another ...Petitioners
versus
State of PunjabRespondent

Coram : HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present : Mr. Lovish Rattan, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, DAG, Punjab.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

[1] On 08.01.2024, the following order was passed by this Court:-

“ Present: Mr. Lovish Rattan, Advocate for the petitioners.

By way of present petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C.,
petitioners pray for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.98 dated
04.11.2023 registered under Sections 323, 452, 427, 148, 149 IPC at
Police Station Bhindi Saidan, District Amritsar.

Allegations are that the petitioners accompanied by others,
came to the house of the complainant and went on the roof of the house,
broke the articles and fought with Pinder, wife of the complainant - Raju
Masih.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that except
Section 452 IPC, rest of the offences are bailable. Learned counsel also
points out that FIR has been lodged after delay of 18 hours. Besides, there
is a cross version also as is evident from DDR dated 11.11.2023
(Annexure P.2).

Notice of motion.

Ms. Kanica Sachdeva, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on
behalf of the respondent – State. Copy of the petition be supplied to him
during course of the day.

Adjourned to 14.02.2024 for filing status report.

In the meantime, in case of their arrest, the petitioners shall
be released on interim bail subject to the satisfaction of the Arresting
Officer/ Investigating Officer. However, it is further directed that the

petitioners shall join the investigation as and when so required by the Investigating Officer. They shall not contact any person associated with the case to dissuade them from the investigation in any manner whatsoever and nor shall leave the country without prior permission of the Court. They shall further comply with the conditions stipulated in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. ”

[2] Today, learned State Counsel, has informed this Court that the petitioner has joined the investigation, in compliance of the order dated 08.01.2024 and is no longer required for further investigation.

[3] In view of the aforesaid, the order dated 08.01.2024, whereby the petitioner was granted interim anticipatory bail, is hereby made absolute. However, he shall continue to join investigation, if and so required by the Investigating Officer.

[4] Disposed of.

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

14.02.2024
'Rajneesh'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No