

132

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-435-2024

Date of Decision:10.01.2024

GURPREET SINGH

..... Petitioner

Versus

UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Mr. Prabhjot S. Waraich, Advocate and
Dr. Khushbir K. Waraich, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Anil Sharma, Senior Panel Counsel
for the respondents No.1 to 3-UOI.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondents to appoint him under LARSGESS scheme framed by respondent.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that father of the petitioner was working with respondent who framed safety related retirement scheme (LARSGESS) on 13.07.2003. The father of the petitioner applied for retirement under the said scheme. In terms of said scheme, the petitioner is entitled to employment. The petitioner has made representation dated 19.09.2023 (Annexure P-12) for the said purpose to the respondent. The respondents in view of order dated 26.03.2019 passed by Supreme Court in *Narinder Siraswal and others Vs. Union of India and another 2019 SCC OnLine SC 1966* are supposed to advert with representation of the petitioner.

3.

Learned counsel for the respondents asserts that Competent Authority would look into the representation dated 19.09.2023 (Annexure P-12) of the petitioner and pass an appropriate order within 3 months from today in accordance with law.
4.

In the wake of statement of learned counsel for the respondents, the present petition stands disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)

JUDGE

10.01.2024

Ali

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>