

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

210

CRM-M-780-2024

Date of Decision:02.04.2024

SUDHIR SINGH

.....Petitioner

Vs.

STATE OF HARYANA

.....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present:- Mr. Anil Kumar Garg, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sumit Jain, Additional AG, Haryana
assisted by ASI Vijay Kumar.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J.(ORAL)

On 09.01.2024, following order was passed:

“Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail under Section 438 Cr.P.C in case FIR No.357 dated 22.07.2023 registered under Sections 406, 420, 120-B, 506 of the IPC registered at Police Station Baldev Nagar, District Ambala.

As per the prosecution allegations, complaint was lodged by one Simranjeet Singh against petitioner- Sudhir Singh besides Raju Chauhan, Pooja Rani and Yogesh. It was alleged that on the pretext of sanctioning loan of ₹50,00,000/-, an amount of ₹4,100/- was taken as process fee vide a cheque dated 20.04.2023 and thereafter, another amount of ₹3,00,000/- was taken by accused assuring the sanctioning of the loan by 10.05.2023. However, no loan was sanctioned.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that petitioner was just a driver in Grover Unicom Nidhi Ltd.; that there is no specific allegation that any amount was given to the petitioner. It is also contended that it is Raju Chauhan to whom the amount was given by the complainant. Learned counsel further contends that petitioner is ready to join the investigation.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Parveen Kumar Aggarwal, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondent- State.

Adjourned to 21.02.2024.

In the meantime, in case of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. However, it is directed that the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when so required by the Investigating Officer. He shall not contact any person associated with the case to dissuade him from the investigation in any manner whatsoever and nor shall leave the country without prior permission of the Court. He shall further comply with the conditions stipulated in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. ”

Today learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Vijay Kumar submits that petitioner has since joined the investigation and is not required for custodial interrogation.

Having regard to the aforesaid facts and circumstances, but without commenting anything further on the merits of the case order dated 09.01.2024 is hereby made absolute, whereby petitioner was granted interim anticipatory bail.

However, the petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when called upon to do so by the Investigating Agency and shall abide by the conditions of Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

02.04.2024
pry

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/reasoned Yes/No
Whether Reportable Yes/No