

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CR No.101 of 2020
Date of Decision: 18.04.2024

Parmeshwar Dixit

.....Revisionist-Petitioner.

Versus

Satnam Singh

.....Respondent.

CORAM: ***HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA***

Present:- None for the revisionist-petitioner.

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J.(Oral)

Despite the present matter having been taken up twice since morning, none has put in appearance in the Court to represent the petitioner. On 12.07.2023 also, the petitioner had remained unrepresented.

2. At this stage, the Court Master, attached to this Court, has checked the status of ***Civil Suit No.46787 of 2013*** titled as '***Parmeshwar Dixit Vs. Satnam Singh***', wherein the impugned order Annexure P-5 had been passed, on the relevant Portal and has taken the print-out of the judgment passed by learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), Ludhiana therein and the same has been placed on the record.

3. In view of the above-discussed facts and circumstances, it becomes explicit that the afore-referred Suit, out of which the instant revision-petition has arisen, has already been decided by the trial Court and it being so, the revision-petition in hand has been rendered infructuous. Resultantly, the same stands disposed of accordingly.

April 18, 2024
Yag Dutt

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned:</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether Reportable:</i>	<i>No</i>