

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

129

CR No.23 of 2024(O&M)

Date of Decision: January 08, 2024

Varinder Khanna @ Virender Khanna**.....Petitioner(s)****Vs.****Sudhar Sabha Pracheen Mandir****Bhoot Nath Ji and others****.....Respondent(s)****CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SUDEEPTI SHARMA**

Present: Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner

Mr. Prashant Bansal, Advocate
for respondents No.1 and 2.

SUDEEPTI SHARMA J. (ORAL)

The impugned order in the present petition is dated 19.12.2023 (Annexure P-7) passed by learned Additional District Judge, Patiala, vide which the injunction application filed by respondents No.1 and 2 has been accepted.

2. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

3. A perusal of the record shows that question regarding the signatures of 6 or 7 members of the Committee, is involved in the present case, which is a disputed question of fact and can be decided only by fact finding authority.

4. After perusing the nature of dispute in the petition, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to learned Civil Judge, Junior

Division, Patiala to decide case bearing CIS No.CS/426/2021 titled as
‘Sudhar Sabha Prachin Mandir Vs. Virender Khanna & Others’
expeditiously preferably within a period of six months from today.

- 5. In the meantime, status quo be maintained by the parties.
- 6. Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(SUDEEPTI SHARMA)
JUDGE

January 08, 2024
sonia arora

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	No