



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

RSA No.913 of 2023 (O&M)  
Date of Decision:09.05.2024

Kuldeep Singh

...Appellant

Versus

Yadwinder Singh

...Respondent

**CORAM:** ***HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA***

Present:- Mr. Harsh Aggarwal, Advocate along-with  
appellant-Kuldeep Singh in person  
(as identified by the counsel).

Mr. Piyush Khanna, Advocate along-with  
Respondent-Yadwinder Singh in person  
(as identified by the counsel).

\*\*\*\*\*

**MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (Oral)**

Feeling aggrieved by the judgment and decree handed down by learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), Rajpura on 07.12.2015, whereby the Civil Suit filed by the respondent-plaintiff (here-in-after to be referred as 'the plaintiff') for seeking the possession of the suit property, by way of specific performance of the agreement to sell the same, as executed by the appellant-defendant (here-in-after to be referred as 'the defendant') on 26.02.2010, with the further prayer for the relief of permanent injunction to restrain the defendant from alienating the above-said property to anyone else except him (plaintiff), has been decreed and also by the judgment and decree, as handed down by learned Additional District Judge, Patiala on



06.10.2022, dismissing the appeal, moved by the defendant to assail the judgment and decree rendered by the trial Court, he (defendant) has chosen to prefer the instant appeal to lay challenge to the same.

2. However, both the parties are stated to have arrived at a compromise qua their dispute in the present appeal and the certified copy of the written-compromise has already been placed on the file. Today, defendant-Kuldeep Singh and plaintiff-Yadwinder Singh have appeared in the Court and they have been duly identified by their respective counsel and the separate statements, as made by them regarding the afore-referred compromise, have also been reduced into writing accordingly wherein they have categorically stated that they have entered into a compromise in this appeal and the certified copy of the written-compromise is Annexure C-1 and the original compromise has been tagged in the judicial record of the Lower Appellate Court at Patiala in CA No.310 of 2019 and the same bears their signatures and they shall remain bound by the terms and conditions of the written-compromise Annexure C-1 and that they have entered into this compromise out of their free will and without any coercion or pressure or undue influence and the instant appeal be disposed of, in terms thereof.

3. In the view the above-discussed facts and circumstances, the Regular Second Appeal in hand is, hereby, disposed of, in terms of the written-compromise Annexure C-1.

09.05.2024  
pooja

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)  
JUDGE

*Whether speaking/reasoned:* Yes  
*Whether Reportable:* No