

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA

AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-648-2024

Date of Decision: January 08, 2024

RAM SARUP YADAV

.....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Mr. Parminder Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

HARKESH MANUJA, J. (ORAL)

1. By way of present petition filed under Section 482 CrPC, prayer has been made for issuance of direction to police officials to carry out investigation as per procedure contemplated under Section 154(2) CrPC in the light of representation dated 21.12.2023.
2. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that instead of pressing the present petition on merits, his client would be satisfied in case direction is issued to the respondents to decide the representation (Annexure P-3) moved at the instance of petitioner by passing a speaking order expeditiously.
3. Prayer seems to be justified.
4. Upon advance notice, Mr. Chetan Sharma, DAG Haryana appears on behalf of respondents No.1 to 4 and raises no objection to the innocuous prayer made on behalf of the petitioner.
5. In view of the agreed stand taken by both sides, but without going into the merits of the controversy, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3-Superintendent of Police, District

Panipat to look into the representations (Annexure P-3) and take appropriate action in accordance with law by passing a speaking order preferably within a period of 04 (four) weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

6. The aforesaid direction shall not be treated as expression of opinion on the merits of the averments made in the representation.

08.01.2024
Tejwinder

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>