

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

211

CRM-M-245-2024
Decided on: 01.02.2024

Kuldeep Singh ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Ms. Harita Pandey, Advocate for
Mr. Ishan Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Digvijay Nagpal, AAG, Punjab.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
0042	01.12.2023	Vigilance Bureau Patiala, District Patiala	13(1)-B r/w 13(2) of Prevention of Corruption (Amendment) Act 2018

1. The petitioner apprehending arrest in the FIR captioned above, had come up before this Court under Section 438 CrPC seeking anticipatory bail by filing the present petition.
2. Vide order dated 08.01.2024, the petitioner was granted interim bail, which is continuing till date.
3. Allegations against the petitioner are of acquisition of disproportionate assets i.e. 15.78% more than the income, which is Rs.12,82,280/-, during the check period w.e.f. 01.04.2009 to 31.03.2019.
4. Petitioner’s counsel submits that they have voluntarily complied with the order dated 23.01.2024 and handed over affidavit to the investigator.
5. State counsel does not dispute the contention made by counsel for the petitioner, however opposes the bail. He further submits that in case, this Court is confirming the bail, they should be permitted to verify the above said affidavit regarding declaration of assets.

CRM-M-245-2024

2024:PHHC:013981

6. Since the petitioner has declared his assets, there would be no justification to discontinue the interim protection, however, let the concerned Investigator verify the affidavit , if they so desire.

7. Petition is allowed with the aforesaid observation and interim order dated 08.01.2024 is made absolute. Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

01.02.2024
anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes
Whether reportable:	No.