

**CRM-M-173-2024 (O&M)**  
**Date of Decision: 09.02.2024**

## ...Petitioners

V/S

## ...Respondents

Present: Mr. Kapish Singla, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

Mr. Vikas Bhardwaj, AAG Haryana.

Mr. Maneet Kaushik, Advocate  
for respondents No. 2 and. 3.

\*\*\*\*

**HARPREET SINGH BRAR J. (Oral)**

1. This petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking quashing of FIR No. 391 dated 18.11.2023 registered under Sections 148, 149, 323 and 506 of Indian Penal Code at Police Station Murthal, District Sonapat and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom in view of the compromise dated 20.12.2023 (Annexure P-5).
2. The following order was passed on 08.01.2024:

*“This petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking quashing of FIR No.391 dated 18.11.2023 under Sections 148/149/323/506 of IPC registered at Police Station Murthal, District Sonipat (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise deed dated 20.12.2023 (Annexure P-2).*

*Notice of motion.*

*At this stage, on the asking of the Court, Ms. Geeta Sharma, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1-State and Ms. Diksha, Advocate accepts notice for respondents No.2 & 3 and files her vakalatnama and admits to the factum of compromise. Copy of the paper*

*book be supplied to them during the course of day.*

*Service is complete.*

*Now the parties are directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate within two weeks from today or any other date convenient to the trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate, to get recorded their statements regarding compromise and after recording their statements, learned trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate is directed to send report regarding the genuineness of compromise and also to intimate whether any PO proceedings are pending against any of the party on or before the date fixed i.e. 09.02.2024.*

*A copy of the order be sent to learned trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate through fax for compliance.”*

3. In compliance of the above order, a report has been received from the concerned jurisdictional Court that the compromise between the parties is genuine and arrived at without any pressure or coercion from anyone.
4. In view of the compromise and the ratio of law laid down by the Hon’ble Supreme Court in **Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466, Ramgopal and another Vs. State of Madhya Pradesh 2021 SCC OnLine SC 834 and Shakuntala Sawhney (Mrs) Vs. Kaushalya (Mrs.) and others (1980) 1 SCC 63** and Full Bench of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab 2007 (3) RCR (Crl.) 1052**, this petition is allowed and FIR No. 391 dated 18.11.2023 registered under Sections 148, 149, 323 and 506 of Indian Penal Code at Police Station Murthal, District Sonipat and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are quashed, qua the petitioners.

09.02.2024  
Ajay Goswami

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)  
JUDGE

|                           |        |
|---------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable        | Yes/No |