

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRR-18-2024
DECIDED ON: 11.03.2024

GURMAIL SINGH AND OTHERS
.....PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF UT CHANDIGARH AND ANOTHER
.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Sachin Mankash, Advocate for
Mr. S.S. Narula, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Munish Bansal, PP, UT, Chandigarh and
Mr. Ankur Bali, Addl. P.P., U.T., Chandigarh for
for U.T., Chandigarh.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

- 1. The present revision petition has been preferred to set aside the order of framing charges as well as consequent charge sheet dated 05.12.2023 to the extent of charge under Section 307 of IPC read with Section 34 of IPC.
- 2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that he does not want to press the instant petition, since the dispute among the parties stands resolved and FIR has been quashed vide order dated 26.02.2024 passed by this Court.
- 3. Disposed off, being not pressed.

11.03.2024
Meenu

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No
Whether reportable Yes/No