

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-52-2024
Date of decision: 29.01.2024

MANPREET SINGH @ KALUPETITIONER(S)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJABRESPONDENT(S)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present:- Mr. Nitin B. Gujjar, Advocate for
Mr. Saurav Bhatia, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sarabjit Singh Cheema, DAG, Punjab.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

1. By way of present petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C, the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR (Annexure P-1) as under: -

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
238	03.11.2022	21 of NDPS Act and Section 29 of NDPS Act added later on vide DDR No.19 dated 05.11.2022.	City Moga, District Moga.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance to the order dated 09.01.2024 passed by this Court, the petitioner has already joined the investigation and as such prayed for confirming the interim bail granted vide order dated 09.01.2024.

3. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Harmesh Lal, intimates that the petitioner had joined the investigation in the case and is no more required for any custodial investigation in this case nor he is required for further investigation.

CRM-M-52-2024

2

4. Keeping in view the respective submissions made by learned State counsel and considering the fact that the petitioner had already joined the investigation and is no more required for further investigation nor he is required for any custodial interrogation, interim bail granted vide order dated 09.01.2024 is hereby confirmed in the present FIR No.238, dated 03.11.2022 under Section 21 of NDPS Act and Section 29 of NDPS Act added later on vide DDR No.19 dated 05.11.2022 (Annexure P-1), subject to conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. Further, the petitioner is directed to join investigation as and when required in future by way of written notice for such purpose to be served by Investigating Officer of this case upon the petitioners; he will not tamper with the evidence nor will influence the witnesses and will not leave the country without prior permission of the Court.

5. The petition stands allowed.

6. It is made clear that anything contained hereinabove shall not be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

29.01.2024
kanika

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |